



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/010,914	12/05/2001	Shanker Gupta	9022.30	6114
20792	7590	10/03/2003	EXAMINER	
MYERS BIGEL SIBLEY & SAJOVEC			CHOI, FRANK I	
PO BOX 37428			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RALEIGH, NC 27627			1616	
DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003				
9				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/010,914	GUPTA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Frank I Choi	1616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 7/14/2003.

2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 11-28 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) 11-28 is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.

12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____ .
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____. .	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Pg. 10, lines 13,14, please update reference to the cited application by indicating that it is now Patent No. 6,368,831.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claim 18, 19, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for non-ionic surfactants, does not reasonably provide enablement for egg phospholipids as nonionic surfactants. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

Applicant lists egg phospholipids as non-ionic surfactants, however, the prior art cited indicates that egg phospholipids are ionic surfactants. Applicant does not appear to show how the egg phospholipids are nonionic, as such, it appears that a skilled artisan would be required to do undue experimentation in order to make and/or use a non-ionic egg phospholipid.

Examiner has duly considered Applicant's arguments but deems them unpersuasive.

Examiner notes that Applicant provides no evidence supporting its assertions that ionic egg phospholipids have a neutral charge at the pH range of 5-10. The terms anionic, cationic, zwitterionic and non-ionic in relation to surfactants are terms of art identifying specific types of surfactants (See generally, Chen et al., Column 9, lines 1-15). As such, the fact that an ionic surfactant may have a neutral charge over a specified pH range does not make the ionic surfactant a non-ionic surfactant.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11-18, 20, 21, 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lopez-Berestein et al. (US 2002/0143062) in view of Chen et al. (US 6,267,985) and Shudo et al. (US 5,676,146).

Lopez-Berestein et al. teach a method of preparing a liposome by combining N-(4-hydroxyphenyl) retinamide with a phosphatidylcholine, soybean oil, alcohol and water and that typically that liposome are delivered in injectable compositions (Pg. 28, paragraphs 0030,0331, Pg. 29, Paragraph 0340). It is taught that the phosphatidylcholine can be a egg phosphatidyl choline (Pg. 10, Paragraphs 0081,0089). It is taught that the parenteral aqueous solution should be suitably buffered, if necessary and rendered isotonic (Pg. 29, paragraph 0334). It is taught that retinoids are suitable for the treatment of cancer (Pg. 1, paragraph 0010). It is taught that said retinamide associated with a lipid may emulsified with a lipid or form a liposome as indicated above (Page 10, Paragraphs 0091, Page 11, Paragraphs 0091, 0092). It is taught that methods for preparing lipid emulsions and adding additional components are well known in the art (Page 11, paragraphs 0094, 0095).

Chen et al. teach that the addition of triglycerides, such as soybean oil, are conventionally used to increase the solubility of many therapeutic agents (Column 1, lines 10-27, Column 6, lines 17). It is taught that the solubilizers such as ethanol can be added increase the solubility of the therapeutic agent or triglyceride in the composition (Column 33, lines 62-68, Column 34,

Art Unit: 1616

lines 1-33). It is taught that small particle sizes avoid safety problems found with large particle sizes in parenteral administration (Column 40, lines 26-35). The use of surfactants such as PEG fatty acid esters and POE-POP box copolymers are taught (Columns 9, 10, Column 20, lines 54-68).

Shudo et al. teach that glycerine is used pharmaceutical formulations for injection as an isotonizing agent (Column 5, lines 47-55).

The difference between the prior art and the claimed invention is that the prior art does not expressly disclose the retinide composition having the claimed components in the claimed amounts. However, the prior art amply suggests the same as the prior art teaches the use of emulsions to administer hydrophobic drugs, including retinoids, for the treatment of cancer, in the form of emulsions. Further, it would have been well within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use a lipid, such as soy bean oil, with the expectation of increasing the solubility of the retinoid, a solvent such as ethanol with the expectation of increasing the solubility of the retinoid and/or lipid, a non-ionic surfactant with the expectation of increasing the ability of the triglyceride to solubilize the retinide. Further, it would have been well within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to use various amounts of the components, including amounts falling within the claimed amounts, depending on the amount of drug, pH, tonicity, stability, solubility and clarity desired.

Examiner has duly considered Applicant's arguments but deems them unpersuasive.

Applicant argues that Lopez-Berestein et al. only describe and teach liposomal compositions for parenteral administration and that Lopez-Berestein et al. failed to achieve an

emulsion. However, as indicated above, this is incorrect and the Lopez-Berestein et al. clearly indicates that emulsions are well within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art.

Therefore, the claimed invention, as a whole, would have been *prima facie* obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, because every element of the invention has been collectively taught by the combined teachings of the references.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

A facsimile center has been established in Technology Center 1600. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frank Choi whose telephone number is (703) 308-0067. Examiner maintains a flexible schedule. However, Examiner may generally be reached Monday-Friday, 8:00 am – 5:30 pm (EST), except the first Friday of the each biweek which is Examiner's normally scheduled day off.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Thurman Page, can be reached on (703) 308-2927. Additionally, Technology Center 1600's Receptionist and Customer Service can be reached at (703) 308-1235 and (703) 308-0198, respectively.

FIC

September 30, 2003



S. MARK CLARDY
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 1200
16 16